

Problem 0.

Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ be our learning sample, where $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_i \in X$, for some non-empty set X . Let $\ell : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a cost function, and \mathcal{H} be a RKHS with reproducing kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $X \times X$. We are looking for a solution to the problem

$$f^*(x) = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i) + \Omega(\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly increasing function, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ the norm induced by the dot product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined on \mathcal{H} .

- (a) Argue with some reasonably amount of details why $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty$ ensures that f is a relatively smooth function.
- (b) Our goal is now to derive a general expression for the solution to the problem (1). Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Using the reproducing property, show that

$$f(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j K(x_j, x_i),$$

for some coefficients α_j .

- (c) Conclude that necessarily the solution $f^*(x)$ to (1) must satisfy

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j K(x, x_j), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

for some coefficients α_j .

- (d) We are now looking for a regression function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ which minimizes the penalized sum of squares

$$C(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

It directly follows from question (c) that the solution can be written $f^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i K(x, x_i)$.

- (i) Show that the problem of solving $f^* = \arg \min C(f)$ is equivalent to

$$\alpha^* = \arg \min_{\alpha} (y - K\alpha)^t (y - K\alpha) + \lambda \alpha^t K \alpha,$$

where $\alpha^t = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $y^t = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, and some matrix K whose entries you will derive.

- (ii) Derive the optimal solution α^* to the problem derived in (d).
- (e) Consider now the problem of binary classification, with $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$. Which loss ℓ and penalty Ω would you use to turn (1) into a kernel SVM problem? Derive the primal and dual optimisation problem of the kernel SVM, and give an expression for the final classifier.

Problem 1.

- (i) Take some $X \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Show that for $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $K(x, y) = f(x)f(y)$ is a valid kernel.
- (ii) Put $X = [-2, 2]^2$, and consider the set of functions on $[-2, 2]$ defined by the kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = 1 + xy \exp(x + y)$.
- (a) Argue that \mathcal{K} is a legitimate kernel function.
- (b) Show that $g(x) = 1$ and $h(x) = x \exp(x)$ both belong to the RKHS \mathcal{H} with kernel \mathcal{K} .
- (c) Determine whether or not g and h are orthonormal. If they are not, find an orthonormal basis for the span of $\{g, h\}$ in the RKHS with kernel \mathcal{K} .

Problem 2.

We consider real functions on the compact interval $X = [-\pi, \pi]$ with periodic boundary conditions. A Fourier series expansion yields the representation

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_l e^{ilx} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} f_l \psi_l(x).$$

where we put $\psi_l(x) := \exp(ilx)$. Since $f(x)$ is real, the Fourier coefficients satisfy $f_{-l} = \bar{f}_l$, where \bar{z} denotes the complex conjugate of z . Consider a Kernel which takes a single argument corresponding to the difference of the inputs, $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = K(x - y)$, with Fourier representation,

$$K(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} k_l \psi_l(x), \tag{2}$$

where the coefficients satisfy $k_{-l} = k_l$ and $\bar{k}_l = k_l$, assuming K to be a symmetric real function. Let \mathcal{H} be the set of functions of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) = \sum_l f_l \psi_l(x) \right\},$$

endowed with the dot product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \sum_l \frac{f_l \bar{g}_l}{k_l}. \tag{3}$$

It can be shown that \mathcal{H} is an RKHS associated with \mathcal{K} , provided $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the norm induced by the dot product.

- (i) Verify that the reproducibility property for f holds,

$$f(x) = \langle f, \mathcal{K}(\cdot, x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

(ii) Check that the reproducibility property holds as well for the kernel itself,

$$\langle \mathcal{K}(\cdot, x), \mathcal{K}(\cdot, y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{K}(x, y).$$

(iii) You decide to perform a kernel ridge regression with the kernel (2).

- (a) Write down the penalized sum of squares objective function you want to minimize.
- (b) Using the representer theorem, provide a general expression of the minimizer.
- (c) Using the definition of the dot product (3), explain why the penalty term derived in question (ii)(a) favours smooth solutions.

Problem 3. Gaussian and Laplace kernels

(i) Consider the Gaussian kernel on $X = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = K(x - y) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2\right).$$

We define an RKHS with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{f}(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)}}{\hat{\kappa}(\omega)} d\omega,$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f ,

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\omega x} dx, \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega.$$

Given a function $f(x) = \exp(-ax^2) \in \mathcal{H}$, with $a > 0$, what is the minimum a for which $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty$?

Hint: You may use the known results that $e^{-x^2/2}$ has Fourier transform $e^{-\omega^2/2}$, and that $f(ax)$ has Fourier transform $a\hat{f}(\omega/a)$.

(ii) Define the Laplace kernel on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = K(x - y) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|x - y|\right),$$

with Fourier transform

$$\hat{\kappa}(\omega) = \frac{2}{1 + \omega^2}.$$

Given the inner product in question (i), comment on the smoothing penalty enforced by the RKHS norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for the Gaussian kernel, versus that with the Laplace kernel.

Problem 4. Kernel SVM

Consider a binary classification problem, with the following training dataset, with input variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

x_i	1	2	3	5
y_i	1	-1	1	-1

- (i) Is there a linear classifier based only on x with zero training error?
- (ii) Is there a kernel SVM classifier based on the kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = (1 + xy)^2$ with zero training error?
- (iii) Is there a kernel SVM classifier based on the kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \exp(-2(x - y)^2)$ with zero training error?

Problem 5. Consider the space of functions

$$\mathcal{H} := \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \text{absolutely continuous, } f(0) = 0, f' \in L_2[0, 1]\},$$

where $L_2[0, 1]$ denotes the space of square integrable functions on the interval $[0, 1]$. The space \mathcal{H} is endowed with the bilinear form

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_0^1 f'(x)g'(x)dx.$$

Show that \mathcal{H} is an RKHS with reproducing kernel $K(x, y) = \min(x, y)$.

You do not need to show that \mathcal{H} is complete, but you need to show everything else; in particular that the bilinear form $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an inner product on \mathcal{H} .

Problem 6. Let \mathcal{H} be an RKHS with reproducing kernel K . Solve the kernel logistic regression problem

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i f(x_i)}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

using a Newton procedure. Show that each iteration of the algorithm corresponds to a new weighted kernel ridge regression problem, that you will make explicit.