In this problem sheet, we consider the problem of linear regression with d predictors and one intercept,

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta_0 + \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon \,,$$

where  $\mathbf{y}^t = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  is the column vector of target values,  $\beta^t = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$  is the column vector of coefficients excluding the intercept,  $\epsilon^t = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$  is the vector of random errors, and  $\mathbf{X}$  is the  $n \times d$  matrix of (standardised) observations given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1d} \\ x_{21} & \dots & x_{2d} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nd} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are looking for the solution minimising the penalised sum of squares

$$RSS_p(\lambda) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^t (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \lambda ||\beta||_p^p,$$
(1)

where  $\lambda > 0$ , and p = 1 (lasso), p = 2 (ridge regression), etc.

# Problem 0.

(i) Consider the ridge regression problem,

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{ridge}} = \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{ij} \beta_j \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_j^2 \right\}.$$

Show that this problem is equivalent to the problem

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{ridge}}^{s} = \arg\min_{\beta^{s}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_{i} - \beta_{0}^{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{j})\beta_{j}^{s} \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{d} (\beta_{j}^{s})^{2} \right\}.$$

Give the correspondence between  $\beta^s$  and the original  $\beta$ . Characterise the solution to this modified criterion, and explain why the intercept does not appear in the expression of the  $RSS(\lambda)$  given in (1).

- (ii) Show that the vector  $\beta$  minimising (1) is  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{y}$ . Argue why the matrix  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_d$  is always positive definite, irrespectively of the rank of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- (iii) Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} = I_d$ . Characterise the ridge and lasso solutions in this case, and express them in terms of the least squares solution. Explain why ridge performs a proportional shrinkage, while lasso performs soft-thresholding.
- (iv) Recall the dimensions and properties of the matrices U, V and  $\Lambda$  in the SVD decomposition of  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}^t$ , for a  $n \times d$  matrix of rank d, with d < n.

(v) The solution to the ridge regression problem is  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{y}$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is the tuning parameter. Show that the ridge estimate can be written

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\lambda} := \mathbf{X} \hat{eta}_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^d rac{\lambda_j^2}{\lambda_j^2 + \lambda} < \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{y} > \mathbf{u}_j,$$

where the  $\lambda_i$ s are the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_i$  the columns of  $\mathbf{U}$ .

- (vi) Derive the eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X}$ .
- (vii) Assuming the columns of X are centered, deduce the eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of the sample covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X}/n$ .
- (viii) Deduce a geometrical interpretation of the  $\lambda_j$ , and a geometrical interpretation of the ridge estimates. In which directions does ridge regression shrink the coefficients the most?

# Problem 1.

- (i) Recall the expression of the ridge regression solution  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ , minimising the penalised sum of squares (1).
- (ii) Show that  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$  is a biased estimate of  $\beta$ .
- (iii) The ridge fits are given by  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{H}_{\lambda}\mathbf{y}$ . Recall the expression of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}_{\lambda}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{H}_{\lambda}$  is not a projection matrix for  $\lambda > 0$ . *Hint:* Show that  $\mathbf{H}_{\lambda}$  is not idempotent.
- (iv) Show that the ridge fits  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\lambda}$  are not perpendicular to the ridge residuals  $\hat{\epsilon}(\lambda) := \mathbf{y} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\lambda}$ .

#### Problem 2.

Show that the ridge solution can be re-expressed as a lest square solution of a modified dataset.

#### Problem 3.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^t$  is of rank  $r \leq d$  and put  $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r]$  and  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r]$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(i) Put  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{y} =: \mathbf{A}_{\lambda} \mathbf{y}$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{A}_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^r rac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{u}_j^t$$
 .

(ii) Put  $\mathbf{P} := \sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{v}_j^t$ , the projection matrix onto the row space of  $\mathbf{X}$ . Check that we have

$$\mathbf{E}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2} + \lambda} \langle \mathbf{v}_{j}, \beta \rangle \mathbf{v}_{j},$$

and

$$||\beta - \mathbf{E}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}||_{2}^{2} = \beta^{t}(\mathbf{I}_{d} - \mathbf{P})\beta + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \lambda_{j}^{2}}\right)^{2} \langle \mathbf{v}_{j}, \beta \rangle^{2}$$

What is the value of  $||\beta - \mathbf{E}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}||_2^2$  if r = d and  $\lambda = 0$ ?

(iii) Check that the total variance  $\mathrm{var}\hat{eta}_\lambda := \sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{var}(\hat{eta}_\lambda)_j$  is given by

$$\operatorname{var}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \sigma^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}^{t} \mathbf{A}_{\lambda}) = \sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}^{2} + \lambda} \right)^{2}.$$

(iv) How do the square bias and the variance of  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$  vary as  $\lambda$  increases?

# Problem 4.

The goal of this problem is to understand the ridge and lasso solutions from a Bayesian point of view. Consider the Gaussian sampling model  $\mathbf{y} \mid \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ .

(i) Suppose we put a normal prior distribution on the parameters,  $\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau \mathbf{I})$ , for some  $\tau > 0$ . Show that the posterior distribution of  $\beta$  given  $\mathbf{y}$  is normal with mean  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , where

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tau}I + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X},$$
$$\mu = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\Sigma\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{y}.$$

Deduce from (i) that the ridge regression estimate is the mean (and mode) of the posterior distribution. Find the relationship between the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  in the ridge formula (1), and the variances  $\tau$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

(ii) Consider now a Laplace prior on  $\beta$ , so that the density of  $\beta$  is given by

$$\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^d \exp\left(-\tau ||\beta||_1\right) \,.$$

Show that the Lasso solution is the MAP (Maximum A Posteriori aka the mode of the posterior distribution) estimate of  $\beta$ , with  $\lambda = 2\tau\sigma^2$ .

# Problem 5.

Suppose we estimate the regression coefficients in a linear regression model using an  $\ell_1$  penalty, that is find the minimiser of (1) with p = 1, for a particular value of  $\lambda$ . For questions (a) through (e), indicate which of (i) through (v) is correct, and justify your answer.

- (a) As we increase  $\lambda$  from 0, the training  $RSS_1(\lambda)$  will:
  - (i) Increase initially, and then eventually start decreasing in an inverted U shape.
  - (ii) Decrease initially, and then eventually start increasing in a U shape.
  - (iii) Steadily increase.
  - (iv) Steadily decrease.
- (b) Repeat (a) for test  $RSS_1(\lambda)$ .
- (c) Repeat (a) for variance.
- (d) Repeat (a) for squared bias.

#### Problem 6.

Recall that the lasso estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$  satisfies (see page 25 of the lecture notes)

$$\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{y} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \hat{z} \,,$$

for  $\hat{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\hat{z} = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_j$  if  $(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_j \neq 0$  and  $\hat{z} \in [-1, 1]$  if  $(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_j = 0$ . Suppose in this problem that the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$  are orthogonal.

- (i) Argue that for  $(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_{i} \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{X}^{t}\mathbf{y}$  and  $(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_{i}$  are of the same sign.
- (ii) Deduce from (i) that in the orthogonal setting the lasso estimator is given by

$$(\hat{\beta}_{\lambda})_{j} = \mathbf{X}_{j}^{t} \mathbf{y} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2|\mathbf{X}_{j}^{t} \mathbf{y}|} \right)_{+}$$

Compare this expression with the one derived page 11 of the lecture notes.

#### Problem 7.

The lasso solution is unique when the rank( $\mathbf{X}$ ) = d. However, when rank( $\mathbf{X}$ ) < d, the criterion is not strictly convex, and there can be multiple minimisers of the lasso criterion.

- (i) Show that if the lasso solution is not unique, then there exists uncountably many solutions.
- (ii) Show that every lasso solution  $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$  gives the same fitted value  $\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ .
- (iii) Show that every lasso solution has the same  $\ell_1$  norm  $||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}||_1$ .

# Problem 8.

The elastic-net optimisation problem can be written as

$$\min_{\beta} \left\{ ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta||_2^2 + \lambda(\alpha ||\beta||_2^2 + (1-\alpha)||\beta||_1) \right\},\$$

for some  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

- (i) Show how it is possible to turn this into a lasso problem, using an augmented version of X and y. Specify the value of the tuning parameter in terms of  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$ .
- (*ii*) Explain (using words) why an elastic net penalty enables feature selection, as well as coefficient shrinkage.
- (iii) Write down a coordinate descent algorithm for the elastic-net optimisation problem.