Ridge Regression and Lasso

1. Credit dataset

The data can be downloaded here http://www-bcf.usc.edu/~gareth/ISL/data.html, and imported into R using the read.csv function. This dataset is used in Chapter 6 in *An Introduction to Statistical Learning* by G. James, D. Witten, T. Hastie and R. Tibshirani to illustrate regularization techniques.

The learning sample contains 400 observations, for 10 variables. The response variable is Balance, which gives the average credit card debt for a number of individuals. The predictors are both quantitative, such as Age, Cards (number of credit cards), Education (number of years of education), Income (in thousands of dollars), Limit (credit limit), Rating (credit rating), and qualitative, Gender, Student, Status (marital status) and Ethnicity (Caucasian, African American or Asian). The variable X denotes the row number, and can be discarded. At this stage, you should reflect on ethical values of machine learning. Shall we be incorporating Ethnicity and Gender as predictors? Fairness in machine learning should not be discarded, as it is know that in many cases a poor use of algorithms or of the data used to train them increase inequalities amongst people, targeting minorities. I strongly suggest you read the book called *Weapons of Maths Destruction* by Cathy O'Neil, and listen to her TED talk https://www.ted.com/talks/cathy_o_neil_the_era_of_blind_faith_in_big_data_must_end?language=en. While we are discussing this, why not have a look at this talk as well https://www.ted.com/talks/joy_buolamwini_how_i_m_fighting_bias_in_algorithms.

Credit<-read.csv("Credit.csv")
str(Credit)</pre>

```
##
   'data.frame':
                    400 obs. of 12 variables:
               : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
##
   $ X
##
   $ Income
                     14.9 106 104.6 148.9 55.9 ...
               : num
                     3606 6645 7075 9504 4897 8047 3388 7114 3300 6819 ...
##
   $ Limit
               : int
##
   $ Rating
               : int
                      283 483 514 681 357 569 259 512 266 491 ...
##
   $ Cards
                     2343242253...
               : int
##
   $ Age
                     34 82 71 36 68 77 37 87 66 41 ...
               : int
   $ Education: int 11 15 11 11 16 10 12 9 13 19 ...
##
##
               : Factor w/ 2 levels " Male", "Female": 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 ...
   $ Gender
   $ Student : Factor w/ 2 levels "No", "Yes": 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 ...
##
##
   $ Married : Factor w/ 2 levels "No","Yes": 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 ...
   $ Ethnicity: Factor w/ 3 levels "African American",..: 3 2 2 2 3 3 1 2 3 1 ...
##
   $ Balance : int 333 903 580 964 331 1151 203 872 279 1350 ...
##
```

A scatter plot for each pair of the quantitative variables can be obtained using the command pairs

pairs(Balance~Age+Cards+Education+Income+Limit+Rating, Credit, col="steelblue3")



We observe the existence of linear dependency amongst some variables, such as Rating and Limit. These variables should not be included together in a linear model, as it would lead to numerical instabilities, and unreliable predictions. For example, considering the model consisting of the three variables Age, Rating and Limit, the value of the VIF for Rating and Limit indicates collinearity. One should drop one of the variables from the model, or combine them in some way.

```
library(car)
```

```
lm.fit = lm(Balance~Age+Rating+Limit, data=Credit)
summary(lm.fit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Balance ~ Age + Rating + Limit, data = Credit)
##
```

```
## Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q Median
                                30
                                       Max
  -729.67 -135.82
                     -8.58
                           127.29
##
                                    827.65
##
## Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                            55.88219 -4.644 4.66e-06 ***
## (Intercept) -259.51752
## Age
                 -2.34575
                             0.66861 -3.508 0.000503 ***
## Rating
                  2.31046
                             0.93953
                                       2.459 0.014352 *
                  0.01901
## Limit
                             0.06296
                                       0.302 0.762830
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 229.1 on 396 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7536, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7517
## F-statistic: 403.7 on 3 and 396 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

vif(lm.fit)

Age Rating Limit
1.011385 160.668301 160.592880

Ridge Regression, the Lasso and related techniques are implemented in the package glmnet

library(glmnet)

Loading required package: Matrix
Loaded glmnet 1.9-8

We use the command model.matrix to automatically converts the qualitative variables into numerical variables. This is needed for the glmnet function which can only take numerical inputs. We remove the intercept from the model, see discussion pages 2-4 in lecture notes SL: RIDGE REGRESSION AND LASSO. Observe that model.matrix created two variables EthnicityAsian and EthnicityCaucasian for the predictor Ethnicity, containing originally three factors. The factor African American being the base factor by default. Because of ethical concerns, we drop these predictors from the model. For similar reasons, we drop as well the variable Gender.

head(model.matrix(Balance~., Credit)) # First Column = Intercept

##		(Intercept)	Х	Income	Limit	Rating	Cards	Age	Education	GenderFemale
##	1	1	1	14.891	3606	283	2	34	11	0
##	2	1	2	106.025	6645	483	3	82	15	1
##	3	1	3	104.593	7075	514	4	71	11	0
##	4	1	4	148.924	9504	681	3	36	11	1
##	5	1	5	55.882	4897	357	2	68	16	0
##	6	1	6	80.180	8047	569	4	77	10	0
##		StudentYes MarriedYes EthnicityAsian EthnicityCaucasian				1				
##	1	0		1			0		1	L
##	2	1		1			1		0	
##	3	0		0			1		0	
##	4	0		0			1		0	
##	5	0		1			0	1		
##	6	0		0			0			1

```
train.X = model.matrix(Balance~., Credit)[,-c(1,2,9,12,13)]
train.Y = Credit$Balance
head(train.X)
      Income Limit Rating Cards Age Education StudentYes MarriedYes
##
## 1
     14.891
              3606
                                                          0
                       283
                               2
                                  34
                                             11
                                                                     1
## 2 106.025
              6645
                       483
                               3
                                  82
                                             15
                                                          1
                                                                     1
## 3 104.593
              7075
                       514
                               4
                                  71
                                             11
                                                          0
                                                                     0
                                             11
## 4 148.924
              9504
                       681
                               3
                                  36
                                                          0
                                                                     0
## 5 55.882
              4897
                       357
                               2
                                  68
                                             16
                                                          0
                                                                     1
## 6 80.180
              8047
                       569
                               4
                                  77
                                             10
                                                          0
                                                                     0
head(train.Y)
```

[1] 333 903 580 964 331 1151

We use the command glmnet to train the data using Ridge Regression and Lasso. The entry alpha indicates which method is used. The value alpha=0 corresponds to ridge regression, and alpha=1 to the lasso. In between values of alpha correspond to an elastic-net penalty. We estimate the parameters for a range of values of the tuning parameter λ , ranging from 10^5 to 10^{-2} .

grid = 10^{seq(5, -2, length=100)} ridge.fit = glmnet(train.X, train.Y, alpha=0, lambda=grid)

Note that by default, the function glmnet standardizes variables. Use standardize=FALSE if you do not want glmnet to standardize.

args(glmnet)

```
## function (x, y, family = c("gaussian", "binomial", "poisson",
       "multinomial", "cox", "mgaussian"), weights, offset = NULL,
##
       alpha = 1, nlambda = 100, lambda.min.ratio = ifelse(nobs <</pre>
##
##
           nvars, 0.01, 1e-04), lambda = NULL, standardize = TRUE,
##
       intercept = TRUE, thresh = 1e-07, dfmax = nvars + 1, pmax = min(dfmax *
           2 + 20, nvars), exclude, penalty.factor = rep(1, nvars),
##
       lower.limits = -Inf, upper.limits = Inf, maxit = 1e+05, type.gaussian = ifelse(nvars <
##
           500, "covariance", "naive"), type.logistic = c("Newton",
##
           "modified.Newton"), standardize.response = FALSE, type.multinomial = c("ungrouped",
##
           "grouped"))
##
```

NULL

For each value of λ , glmnet returns a vector of estimated coefficients, which can be accessed using coef().

dim(coef(ridge.fit))

[1] 9 100

Expect coefficients to be close the least squares estimates for small values of λ . In addition, as λ increases, the size of the coefficients are shrinking. Compare the following estimates:

grid[20] # Value of lambda

[1] 4534.879

coef(ridge.fit)[,20]

(Intercept) Income Limit Rating Cards ## 352.62843891 0.42219591 0.01402781 0.20973004 2.56585994 ## Education StudentYes MarriedYes Age -0.04388717 -0.06297835 36.45865958 -0.84991432

sqrt(sum(coef(ridge.fit)[-1,20]^2)) # Sum of the squared estimated coefficient

[1] 36.56184

grid[100] # Value of lambda

[1] 0.01

coef(ridge.fit)[,100]

##	(Intercept)	Income	Limit	Rating	Cards
##	-478.8370871	-7.7901130	0.1785274	1.3168139	16.9765869
##	Age	Education	StudentYes	MarriedYes	
##	-0.6413354	-1.0399935	424.7658276	-7.6857956	

sqrt(sum(coef(ridge.fit)[-1,100]^2)) # Sum of the squared estimated coefficient

[1] 425.2496

```
lm.fit = lm(train.Y~train.X)
coef(lm.fit) # LS estimate
```

##	(Intercept)	<pre>train.XIncome</pre>	train.XLimit	train.XRating
##	-473.6511986	-7.7933184	0.1930547	1.1007640
##	train.XCards	train.XAge	train.XEducation	<pre>train.XStudentYes</pre>
##	18.0206890	-0.6385828	-1.0957774	425.4586749
##	<pre>train.XMarriedYes</pre>			
##	-7.2149398			

We plot the evolution of the value of the coefficients as a function of λ .



Alternatively, as λ increases, the $||.||_2$ norm of the vector of ridge estimates $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ decreases. We can plot the value of the coefficients as a function of $||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}||_2^2/||\hat{\beta}||_2^2$, where $\hat{\beta}$ denotes the LS estimates.



We repeat the analysis for the lasso, setting alpha=1 in the command glmnet. We plot a subset of the coefficient estimates as a function of $||\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}||_1/||\hat{\beta}||_1$, where $\hat{\beta}_{\lambda}$ denotes the lasso estimate, and $||.||_1$ the ℓ_1 -norm.

```
grid = 10^seq(5, -3, length=100)
lasso.fit = glmnet(train.X, train.Y, alpha=1, lambda=grid)
ratiol1=sqrt(colSums(abs(coef(ridge.fit)[-1,]))/sum(abs(coef(lm.fit)[-1])))
plot(ratiol1, coef(lasso.fit)[2,], ylim = c(-8, 2), type="l", col="darkseagreen3",
```



Shrinkage Factor

Finally, the coefficients returned by glmnet differ depending on how the input variables are scaled. Consider the following twho scenarios: (a) use glmnet with manually standardised variables, using standardize=FALSE, and (b) non manually standardised input variables, and standardize=TRUE in glmnet.

```
## 9 X 1 Sparse Matrix of class dgeMatrix
## s0
## (Intercept) 520.015000
## Income -210.278163
## Limit 281.130123
## Rating 268.905257
## Cards 21.130488
## Age -15.295031
```

```
## Education
                 -1.867084
## StudentYes
                118.674146
## MarriedYes
                 -5.156212
# Case (b)
ridge.fit2 = glmnet(train.X, train.Y, alpha=0, lambda=25)
coef(ridge.fit2)
## 9 x 1 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix"
##
                          s0
## (Intercept) -426.2437625
## Income
                 -5.9737792
## Limit
                  0.1219489
## Rating
                  1.7401423
## Cards
                 15.4286724
## Age
                 -0.8877888
                 -0.5981754
## Education
## StudentYes
                395.5804858
## MarriedYes
                -10.5838063
```

You should observe that all coefficient estimates differ in cases (a) and (b). This may seem worrying at first, as in (a) we manually standardize coefficients, while in (b) we rely on glmnet to do it automatically. This raises the question of the interpretability of the coefficient estimates returned by glmnet. First, note that the intercept value in (a) corresponds to the mean value of the response variable.

mean(train.Y)

[1] 520.015

This observation is in agreement with the discussion on page 4 of the lecture notes. It turns out that the estimate of the intercept of the glmnet function is returned on the *original* scale, that is the scale on which you input the training data to the glmnet command. Compare now the coefficient estimate of (a) with those of (b) properly rescaled, corresponding respectively to $\hat{\beta}_j^s$ and $\hat{\beta}_j$ in the notation p.4 of the lecture notes: coefficients $\hat{\beta}_j^s$ correspond to ridge.fit1 while coefficients $\hat{\beta}_j$ correspond to ridge.fit2, and satisfy $\hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_0^s - \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{\beta}_j \bar{x}_j$, and $\hat{\beta}_j = \hat{\beta}_j^s / \hat{\sigma}_j$, for j = 1, ..., d.

```
m.X <- colMeans(train.X); sd.X <- sqrt((1-1/n)*apply(train.X, 2, var))
coef(ridge.fit2)[1]</pre>
```

[1] -426.2438

coef(ridge.fit1)[1] - sum(m.X*coef(ridge.fit2)[2:9])

[1] -426.2438

coef(ridge.fit2)[2:9]

[1] -5.9737792 0.1219489 1.7401423 15.4286724 -0.8877888 -0.5981754 ## [7] 395.5804858 -10.5838063 coef(ridge.fit1)[2:9]/sd.X

Income Limit Rating Cards Age Education
-5.9737792 0.1219489 1.7401423 15.4286724 -0.8877888 -0.5981754
StudentYes MarriedYes
395.5804858 -10.5838063